



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/945,246

08/31/2001

Chet D. Linton

8808.11

1295

21999 7590 09/18/2007

KIRTON AND MCCONKIE
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,
SUITE 1800
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

FERNSTROM, KURT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/18/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/945,246

Applicant(s)

LINTON, CHET D.

Examiner

Kurt Fernstrom

Art Unit

3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linton in view of Pellegrino. Linton discloses in column 3, line 48 to column 6, line 36 of the specification a system for professional development of instructors comprising the steps of providing an instructor with training over the Internet that teaches skills in accordance with measurable standards. Linton further discloses in column 9, lines 36-35 that reports are generated based on comparisons of performance to measurable standards and provided to teachers and administrators. Linton fails to disclose a lesson plan development system as recited. However, Pellegrino discloses in column 17, lines 13-33 and column 20, line 16 to column 21, line 49 an online system comprising a matrix for use by an instructor in developing lesson plans. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the teachings of Linton by providing a lesson plan development matrix for the purpose of allowing a user to assist an instructor in developing a lesson plan as part of the training. The step of providing worksheets as recited is considered to be an obvious variation on the teachings of Linton and Pellegrino, as worksheets are well known in instructional settings and both Linton and Pellegrino discloses a step of providing instructional information over the Internet. With

Art Unit: 3711

respect to claims 2 and 3, Linton discloses a step of providing evaluation reports to supervisors and instructors as noted above. With respect to claim 4, 9, 11 and 18, Linton discloses in column 2, lines 24-34 professional development training based on standards relating to the profession. With respect to claims 5 and 10, Linton discloses on-line training (see e.g. col. 6, lines 16-20). With respect to claim 6, Linton discloses in column 8, lines 11-29 that the instruction comprises video. With respect to claim 12, the step of providing instruction pertaining to professional standards inherently involves inputting said standards and data into electronic media. With respect to claim 13, while Linton does not explicitly disclose the use of pedagogical standards, such standards are obvious in light of the teachings of Linton. With respect to claims 14-17, Linton discloses third party access to the standards and the steps of evaluating the success of the training and modifying them in response thereto, as well as evaluating the professional. With respect to claims 19-21, as noted above Linton discloses in column 9, lines 37-65 the claimed method steps. With respect to claim 22, providing the training to parents would have been obvious in light of the teachings of Linton.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 9-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grudnitski, Woodson, Greene, Ziv-El, Freeman, Nichols, Siefert, Sudman and Barry disclose various training systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KF
September 12, 2007


KURT FERNSTROM
PRIMARY EXAMINER